

96

Previous ref. no.
7358 8/13 12
1479-97 17

File No 564-04

Serial Nos. 1-2

Later references

1804
(Municipal)

See 2-6-1805

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 1-2

Part B for June 1905

SUBJECT

Exemption from payment of Octroi duty on articles claimed by the Agent of Sivagange Matt.

FILE No. MI 564 of 1904-05	
Exemption from payment of Octroi duty on articles claimed by the Agent of the Sivagange Matt	
1	From the President, Municipal Commission, Mysore City, No. 982, dated 3rd May 1905 Solicits instructions in the matter of the exemption claimed by the Agent of the Sivagange Matt, from payment of town duty on dutiable articles of the said Matt, when brought into the Mysore City, under the authority of a Nirupa granted by Government on the 8th July 1897
2	From the Secretary, General and Revenue Department, No. 11140, dated 5th June 1905 States that the exemption from payment of town duties allowed in favor of the Sri Parakulawamy Matt is based on the terms of the sannads granted to the Matt from time to time but no such authority is produced by the Sri Sivagange Matt for the exemption claimed by it and that it is not therefore entitled to the exemption asked for

G P No. 7338-L F 79-97,
dated 13th December 1897

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Municipal)

NOTES

File No 564

FILE HEADING

Exemption from payment of Town duties claimed
by the Agent of the Sri Suraganga Mutt

from

The President of the Mysore City Municipal Council

No 982 Dated 3 5 1905 Received 8 5 1905

Diary No 7645

Enclos

Spare Copies

Serial No 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Reports that during the recent visit to the Mysore City of
Holiness the Sthan of the Suraganga Mutt, cloths valued at
1181-4-0 were imported into the Town by the Mutt for pro-
vision to the pundits of the place, that no duty was levied thereon
as the Agent of the Mutt claimed exemption from Town duties
under the authority of a Muzap granted to the Mutt by Govt on
the 8th July, 1897 which, however, contains nothing pertaining to the
matter in question, and solicits the issue of a definite ruling
on the point.

NOTES AND ORDERS

Submitted for orders The exemption
H.C. granted to Parakalaswami, Mutt was,
17 5 1905 based on the terms of Sanads granted
to it from time to time but no such Sanad
exists in this case. B.D.

-First sheet

17/5/05

18/5/05

As an authority is produced for the

M.C.P.B. - 0000 - 17 24

Serial
NoExemption claimed, may we reply
in the negative?Submitted to the Dewan Sahib
thru the Commr.6
17-5-1905

2645
25-5-1905
32 agree. The claim for exemption
has not been made out as
the result of

26/5/05-

16-5-1905

6719
27-5-05
4 cents 3- but must have
a prince face can then
warno refr 5-^{ms} reference
28-5-05 end

6771

5 Draft ready

30/5/05

I K

7. 1 6 Draft order is made for affl

H. B. S. J.

30-5-1905

7 Papered

30-5-1905

2 To the President of the Mysore City
Municipal Comm. G.P. No 111,08 5605
States, ^{with remarks} that the Swamya Mutt
is not-entitled to exemption from
town duties,

1904-05-18
N 8/5/05 189 928 ①

From

H H Sparke Esq
President Citymuntz
Mysore

To

M A Venkatacharya Esq
Secretary to the Government
of Mysore General & Civil Dept

Dated 2nd May '05

Despatched

Received

General No 982
No

Enclosure No 1

Requesta have been made
order to bring before the
on articles brought into
the town of Mysore - of the
Singapore into

No 982.

W F 54-20 ① D7645
Office of the Dy Commissioner

Mysore District -

Local Board Branch

Dated Mysore 22 May 1905

To

B. K. Venkataswaraiah Esq

Secretary to the Government of Mysore

General and Revenue Department

Sir,

I have the honor to bring to your kind notice that the Agent of the Sree Sreegange Math claims exemption from the payment of Town duty on the articles brought into the Mysore city under the authority of a *Wazir* granted by Government on the 2nd July 1897. But the *Wazir* in question (a copy of which is herein enclosed) for ready reference) does not say anything beyond that as the Swami intends to travel throughout the Province he is allowed to do so with his usual paraphernalia.

During His Holiness recent visit to the monastery clothes valued at Rs 1181-4 were imported for presentation to the pundits of the place but no duty was levied thereon I request that Government maybe pleased to issue a definite ruling on the point. In this connection I beg to state that Government in their order no. $\frac{7358}{2779-97}$ of the 13th December 1897, have recognized the right of the Sri Parakalasan of Mitha at Mysore to exemption from the payments of Town-duty

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

W. H. D. Miller

President

W. H. D. Miller Secretary
Mysore

File No

Serial No ✓

Diary No of letter replied to

7685
4Proceedings of the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of MysoreGENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT
(Mysore)

, the

190

Date of issue

5/6/05

Signed by

Mr H. G. Ramana

READ —

Letter No 782 dated the
3rd May 1905 from the President
of the Mysore City Municipal Council
reporting that during the recent
visit to the Mysore City of
His Holiness the Swami of the
Sri Sravanganga Mutt, clothes &
ORDER TELEGRAM — No. —
valued at Rs 1181-4-0 were im-
ported into the town by the
Mutt for presentation to the
priests of the place, that no
action is being taken thereon
as the Agent of the Mutt
claimed exemption from

over

SUBJECT

States with remarks that the Sravanganga
is not entitled to exemption from
town duties

Town duties under the authority
of a Muzam granted to the
Mutt by Govt on the 8th
July, 1897 which, however,
contains nothing pertaining

to the matter in question & that the Govt have granted
the exemption to the Sri Perakalamam Mutt
and soliciting the issue
of definite ruling in the
matter

Order thereon No 1140
and (564-06) - 2 of 5/6/05

The exemption from payment
of town duties ^{allowed in favor of the}
Sri Perakalamam Mutt

is based on the terms of the Sanads
granted to ^{the Mutt} ~~it~~ ^{from the Govt}
but no such authority is

produced by the Sri Seshanga Mutt
for the exemption

claimed. The latter Mutt
is therefore not entitled

to exemption from town duties.

2. Govt observe that, ~~there are~~
~~without a previous case~~ there
was no necessity for the above
reference ^{where there was no case}
case.

464
20519x5

43
3016725

30/5/05
Secretary

20/5/05

That is to say the Govt
are not bound

